

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/091,080	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HUNT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kevin M. Bernatz	<b>Art Unit</b> 1773	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(2) Daniel Biesterveld.

**Status of Application:** final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 December 2005

**Time:** AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*n/a*

**Claims discussed:**

*1,13,14,16,17,19*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*n/a*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*the Examiner proposed language to attempt to quantify applicants' alleged unexpected results, but no agreement was reached*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)